IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA EASTERN DIVISION

VICKI ANN RAYBURN,	
Plaintiff,	No. C07-1008
vs.	VERDICT FORM
WADY INDUSTRIES, INC.	
Defendant.	
QUESTION NUMBER 1: Did Rayburn p	rove by the greater weight or preponderance
of the evidence her claim that Wady Industrie	es retaliated against her for complaining about
a hostile work environment based on sex or	gender?
Answer "Yes" or "No"	
ANSWER:	
QUESTION NUMBER 2: Did Rayburn pr	rove by the greater weight or preponderance
of the evidence her claim that Wady Industr	ies wrongfully discharged her in violation of
public policy?	
Answer "Yes" or "No"	
ANSWER:	
[If you answered "No" to both of the preced	ing questions, then do not answer any further
questions.]	

VERDICT FORM (Cont'd)

QUESTION NUMBER 3:	State the amount of damages Rayburn sustained as a proximate
result of Wady Industries' v	rongful conduct.

1.	Lost Past Earnings	\$
2.	Emotional Distress Damages	
[If you find	d in favor of Rayburn on one or more	of her claims, but you find that her
damages pr	oximately caused by Wady Industries'	wrongful conduct have no monetary
value, then	you must return a verdict on that claim	for Rayburn in the nominal amount of
One Dollar	(\$1.00).]	
If you ansv	vered "Yes" to Question Number 1, tl	hen answer Question Numbers 4 and
5, as indica	ated. If you answered "No" to Ques	stion Number 1, then do not answer
Questions 4	4 or 5.	
QUESTIO	N NUMBER 4: With regard to Raybur	rn's claim of retaliation, do you find by
a preponder	rance of clear, convincing and satisfacto	ry evidence that Wady Industries acted
with malice	or reckless indifference for the rights	of another?
Ansv	wer "Yes" or "No"	
ANS	SWER:	
[If your ans	swer to Question Number 4 is "No," the	en do not answer Question Number 5.]
QUESTIO	N NUMBER 5: What amount of punit	tive damages, if any, do you award?
\$		

If you answered "Yes" to Question Number 2, then answer Question Numbers 6, 7, and 8, as indicated. If you answered "No" to Question Number 2, then do not answer Questions 6, 7, or 8.

VERDICT FORM (Cont'd)

QUESTION NUMBER 6: With regard to Rayburn's claim of wrongful discharge in

violation of public policy, do you find by a preponderance of clear, convincing and
satisfactory evidence that Wady Industries acted with willful and wanton disregard for the
rights of another?
Answer "Yes" or "No"
ANSWER:
[If your answer to Question Number 6 is "No," then do not answer Question Number 7
or 8.]
QUESTION NUMBER 7: What amount of punitive damages, if any, do you award?
\$
[If your answer to Question Number 7 is "None," then do not answer Question
Number 8.]
QUESTION NUMBER 8: Was the conduct of Wady Industries directed specifically at
Rayburn?
Answer "Yes" or "No"
ANSWER:
DATED this day of August, 2008.
FOREPERSON
TOREFERSON